POWER AND RESPONSIBILITY

On Men, Women, and the Weight of Influence

ABDULLAHI ABDULRAZAQ

POWER AND RESPONSIBILITY

On Men, Women, and the Weight of Influence

Dedication

To all those who recognize that influence is never neutral.

To the men striving to carry strength with wisdom, and to the women striving to wield influence with integrity.

To those who choose responsibility over blame, understanding over division, and love over indifference.

May we all learn to carry the weight of our influence well.

COPYRIGHT

POWER AND RESPONSIBILITY
On Men, Women, and the Weight of Influence

Copyright © 2026 by [Abdullahi Abdulrazaq]

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the author, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in reviews, articles, or academic works.

This book is intended for educational, philosophical, and reflective purposes. The views expressed are those of the author and are intended to encourage thoughtful discussion and personal reflection.

First Edition, 2026

PREFACE

Power is not always loud.

Sometimes it is quiet, structural, invisible. It exists in the body, in the voice, in opportunity, in expectation. It exists in the way one person can influence the direction of another's life without fully realizing it. It exists in the glance that carries judgment, the silence that withholds approval, the presence that shifts the emotional temperature of a room.

This book is not about blaming men.

It is not about elevating women.

It is not about dividing the world into villains and victims, or constructing hierarchies of suffering, or assigning historical guilt to individuals who never personally committed historical wrongs.

It is about responsibility.

The greater the capacity for power, the greater the potential for impact. Impact can build or destroy. It can nurture or destabilize. It can create safety or fear. It can open futures or close them. And impact does not ask permission before it occurs. It simply happens, shaped by the choices we make with whatever influence we possess.

History shows that many of the greatest builders were men. It also shows that many of the greatest destroyers were men. That pattern is not an insult. It is an observation. And observation demands reflection, not to assign blame, but to understand, and through understanding, to choose differently where choice is possible.

If strength increases range, the range of protection, provision, leadership, but also harm, then strength must be matched with discipline. A knife in the hand of a surgeon saves lives. A knife in the hand of someone enraged takes them. The knife is not the problem. The hand is not the problem. The relationship between capacity and control is the problem.

Power without responsibility becomes chaos.

Responsibility without power becomes frustration.

A healthy world requires both aligned.

This book asks a simple question, and then sits with it quietly, turning it over, examining its edges:

If you have influence, physical, emotional, financial, social, relational, what do you owe the people affected by it?

Not what does society owe you. Not what have others failed to give. Not what you deserve or have been denied. But what do you owe, simply by virtue of having the capacity to affect?

That question, honestly asked, changes everything.

CHAPTER I — THE NATURE OF POWER

Power is often misunderstood.

People imagine power as authority or control, a crown, a title, a position that allows one person to command another. But power begins much earlier than that. It begins with capacity.

The capacity to act.

The capacity to affect.

The capacity to alter someone else's condition, experience, or future.

A physically stronger person has a different kind of power than a physically weaker one. Not better. Not worse. Different. That difference matters because it changes what is possible in any interaction. It changes the stakes of conflict. It changes the meaning of anger. It changes what safety requires.

A financially stable person has a different kind of power than someone who is dependent. Not morally superior, but differently situated. That difference shapes decisions, options, and the distribution of risk within relationships.

A charismatic person influences rooms differently than someone reserved. A person with social status is heard differently than someone without. A person with institutional authority opens doors that remain closed to others.

Power is simply the ability to produce consequences.

And consequences do not ask for intention before they occur.

If you step on someone's foot accidentally, their foot still hurts. Your lack of intention does not undo the pain. The same is true with power. You can influence someone negatively without meaning to. You can create harm without malice. You can shape outcomes without awareness.

This is why self-knowledge is not optional for anyone who wants to carry power responsibly. If you do not know your own impact, you cannot regulate it. If you cannot regulate it, you become unpredictable. And unpredictability in someone with significant power is frightening, not because you mean harm, but because harm becomes possible without your awareness.

Consider physical strength specifically.

If a man is physically stronger than a woman, that is not moral or immoral by itself. It is a fact of biology, shaped by evolution, hormones, and countless generations of selective pressure. But that fact carries responsibility. Because physical strength changes the stakes of anger, conflict, and control.

In a disagreement between two equally matched people, the risk of physical harm is symmetrical. Both can hurt, both can be hurt. Fear is mutual. But in a disagreement where one person is significantly stronger, the stakes are not symmetrical. The stronger person may not feel fear. The weaker person may feel nothing else.

This asymmetry does not make the stronger person evil. It makes them differently situated. And different situations demand different awareness.

The same applies structurally.

For centuries, men have occupied most leadership roles, controlled most resources, commanded most armies, built most institutions, shaped most cultural narratives. That does not automatically make men evil. It does not mean every man personally benefited equally. It does not mean women lacked agency or influence. But it does mean male decisions have historically shaped the world at scale in ways that female decisions have not.

Scale magnifies impact.

And when impact is magnified, responsibility must grow with it. A person who can affect thousands owes more than a person who can affect one. Not because they are better, but because their range is wider. With greater capacity comes greater accountability.

This is not a judgment. It is a law of consequence.

CHAPTER II — BUILDERS AND DESTROYERS

It is uncomfortable to admit that extremes often cluster.

The inventors, empire-builders, industrial magnates, scientific pioneers, artistic revolutionaries, political founders, many were men. The list of those who shaped human civilization through creation and innovation is disproportionately male.

The tyrants, warlords, mass murderers, architects of genocide, instigators of catastrophic wars also largely men. The list of those who shaped human civilization through destruction and cruelty is also disproportionately male.

This is not because men are inherently evil. It is not because masculinity is a pathology. It is not because women are morally superior and would have done better had they held power, though many would have, and many did when they could.

It is because men, across cultures and eras, have been more likely to take extreme risks, pursue dominance hierarchies, compete for status, and operate at the edges of human ambition. Biology plays a role. Testosterone correlates with risk-taking, status-seeking, and competitive aggression. Socialization plays a role. Boys are often encouraged toward assertion, achievement, and emotional suppression. Culture plays a role. Many societies have rewarded male ambition and excused male aggression in ways they would not for women.

The causes are multiple and interwoven. But one pattern remains clear regardless of cause:

Greater drive without guidance can become destruction.

Greater strength without restraint becomes threat.

Greater ambition without wisdom becomes tyranny.

The same aggression that protects can also oppress. The same competitiveness that drives innovation can also fuel exploitation. The same confidence that inspires can also dominate. The qualities themselves are not the problem. The direction they take is everything.

That is why power must be educated.

A society that encourages strength but neglects discipline is preparing chaos. A culture that celebrates ambition but ignores ethics is breeding predators. A family that rewards achievement but never discusses responsibility is raising people who will succeed at others' expense.

Education here does not mean formal schooling. It means formation, the slow, quiet work of shaping character through example, conversation, correction, and love. It means teaching a boy that his strength is for protection, not intimidation. It means showing a young man that his ambition should serve, not dominate. It means demonstrating that true power is not the ability to control others, but the ability to control oneself.

History offers endless examples of what happens when power is educated. It also offers endless examples of what happens when it is not.

The builders and destroyers often start in similar places. They feel the same drives, the same hungers, the same desire to matter. The difference is what they encountered along the way, who guided them, what they were taught, which doors opened and which remained closed.

This is not to excuse those who choose destruction. Choice remains. Accountability remains. But understanding the roots of destruction helps us build conditions that favor creation instead.

CHAPTER III — THE MYTH OF SELF-SUFFICIENT STRENGTH

There is a dangerous myth that strength means independence.

That a "real man" needs no one.

That asking for help is weakness.

That needing emotional support is failure.

That vulnerability reduces authority.

This myth is ancient and persistent. It appears in every culture, every era, every story about what it means to be a man. The lone hero. The self-made man. The stoic provider who bears his burdens silently and never complains.

It is also a lie.

And like many lies, it is destructive precisely because it contains a grain of truth. Yes, strength sometimes means enduring what others cannot. Yes, maturity sometimes means carrying weight without collapsing. Yes, there are moments when survival requires pushing through alone. But these are moments, not a way of life. They are exceptions, not the rule.

The myth of self-sufficient strength isolates men.

It tells them that needing connection is shameful. That emotional expression is dangerous. That the only acceptable feelings are anger and ambition—and even those must be carefully controlled. It creates a world where men can be surrounded by people and still feel utterly alone.

And isolated power becomes unstable.

A man who cannot share his fears will eventually be governed by them. A man who cannot express his grief will have it leak out in anger. A man who cannot ask for help will make mistakes that help could have prevented. A man who cannot be vulnerable will build walls so high that no one can reach him, including himself.

No one sustains balance alone. Not emotionally. Not psychologically. Not spiritually. Humans are not designed for solitary stability. We are relational creatures, shaped by connection, sustained by community, healed by presence.

Women have historically carried much of the emotional labor in relationships and families. They have been the listeners, the comforters, the ones who maintain relational bonds. This is not because women are naturally better at emotion, though socialization often develops those skills, but because cultures have assigned that role to them and discouraged men from developing it.

That does not mean women exist to regulate men. It does not mean men are entitled to emotional labor from women. But it does reveal something important, men often require relational grounding more than they admit, even as they have been discouraged from seeking it or developing the capacity to provide it to others.

A man who wants to "give the world" must first build internal order.

And internal order is rarely built in isolation.

It is built in relationships where he is known and still accepted. In conversations where he can speak without performance. In moments when he is weak and not abandoned. In the slow, patient work of learning to trust and be trusted.

Interdependence is not weakness.

It is ecosystem logic.

Like oxygen and carbon dioxide, exchange sustains life. No human becomes fully themselves without others to reflect, challenge, and hold them.

The myth of self-sufficient strength is not just wrong. It is dangerous. It creates men who cannot ask for help, cannot admit fear, cannot connect deeply, cannot sustain

relationships, cannot be fully known. And then it blames them for the loneliness that follows.

Strength is not the absence of need. It is the capacity to need well, to ask appropriately, to receive gracefully, to give generously, to remain connected even when connection is difficult.

That is the kind of strength worth having.

CHAPTER IV — WHAT MEN OWE THEMSELVES

Before a man can lead, provide, or protect, he must regulate himself.

This sounds obvious, but it is widely ignored. Countless men seek relationships, positions, and responsibilities for which they are internally unprepared. They want the rewards of maturity without the work of becoming mature.

Emotional control is not suppression. It is mastery.

Suppression is pushing feelings down until they explode or leak. Mastery is recognizing feelings, understanding them, and choosing how to respond rather than simply reacting. Suppression is hiding. Mastery is handling.

A man who cannot regulate his anger will eventually frighten those he loves. A man who cannot process his grief will eventually numb it through distraction or destruction. A man who cannot name his fears will eventually be controlled by them. Emotional regulation is not about becoming a robot. It is about becoming a person who can feel deeply and still choose wisely.

Financial ambition is not greed. It is preparation.

A man who wants to provide must learn to manage resources. This does not mean becoming wealthy. It means becoming responsible, earning honestly, spending thoughtfully, saving consistently, planning for contingencies. Provision is not about abundance. It is about reliability. A man who cannot manage his own finances cannot be trusted with a family's.

If a man does not have stability, asking him to "give the world" is unrealistic. He cannot give what he does not possess. Stability must be built before it can be shared.

But if he refuses to build stability, blaming the world is dishonest.

Responsibility begins inward.

This is the hard truth that no one can accomplish for another. No partner can regulate for him. No parent can mature for him. No society can force him to become what he refuses to pursue. The work of becoming a man who can carry power responsibly is work that only he can do.

It begins with self-examination: What am I afraid of? What am I avoiding? What patterns do I repeat that harm myself or others? What would I have to give up to become the person I claim to want to be?

It continues with self-discipline: The choice to do what is difficult when easy would be more comfortable. The choice to tell the truth when lying would protect. The choice to stay when leaving would be simpler.

And it culminates in self-possession: The quiet confidence of someone who knows himself, regulates himself, and can therefore be trusted with influence over others.

Men owe themselves this work. Not because they deserve it—though they do—but because without it, they cannot offer anything stable to anyone else.

CHAPTER V — WHAT WOMEN OWE THEMSELVES

Power is not only physical.

This truth is often overlooked in conversations about power between men and women. The focus tends to land on visible forms, strength, money, position, where men have historically held advantage. But there are other forms of power that are no less real.

Women influence culture, relationships, early childhood development, emotional patterns, social networks. Through motherhood, women shape the next generation's values, expectations, and emotional architecture. Through partnership, women influence the emotional climate of relationships. Through friendship and community, women maintain social bonds that hold families and neighborhoods together.

That is a different form of power, but it is not lesser.

Emotional influence can shape generations.

A mother's responses teach a child what is safe, what is valued, what is expected. Her approval shapes confidence. Her disapproval shapes behavior. Her modeling of boundaries teaches what relationships should look like. Her modeling of self-respect teaches what treatment to accept.

This is power. Quiet, daily, accumulated power. Power that operates beneath awareness but shapes lives at the deepest levels.

Responsibility applies here too.

Encouraging destructive behavior, rewarding chaos, or tolerating instability also shapes outcomes. A woman who consistently excuses a man's irresponsibility is not being kind, she is being complicit in patterns that will eventually harm everyone involved. A woman who accepts mistreatment in the name of love is not being virtuous, she is teaching that love requires self-abandonment. A woman who raises sons without teaching them respect for women is not being neutral, she is contributing to future harm.

This is not blame. It is recognition of impact.

Power always carries responsibility, regardless of its form. Emotional influence is real influence. Relational shaping is real shaping. The question is not whether women have power. The question is what they do with it.

And just as men owe themselves the work of self-regulation, women owe themselves the work of self-possession.

What boundaries do you need to protect your peace? What patterns do you tolerate that you should not? What are you teaching through your choices, about what you value, what you accept, what you deserve? What would you have to risk to become more fully yourself?

Self-possession for women means knowing that you are not responsible for fixing everyone around you. That your needs matter as much as anyone's. That saying no is not cruelty it is clarity. That choosing yourself is not selfishness, it is stewardship of the one life you have.

Interdependence means both sides contribute to the health or decline of the whole. Neither can blame the other entirely. Neither can claim innocence entirely. Both have power. Both have responsibility. Both must look inward before they can look outward with clarity.

CHAPTER VI — THE BALANCE

The question that haunts conversations about gender and power is usually some version of "Who has it worse?"

Men have historically held institutional power but paid for it with shorter lives, higher suicide rates, emotional isolation, and the expectation to sacrifice themselves for others.

Women have historically had less institutional power but longer lives, stronger social networks, greater emotional range, and the burden of carrying unacknowledged labor.

Men are more likely to die from violence. Women are more likely to live in fear of it.

Men are expected to provide. Women are expected to nurture.

Men are shamed for weakness. Women are shamed for strength.

The comparisons could continue indefinitely. And they miss the point entirely.

The question is not "Who is worse off?" The question is "Who is accountable for what they influence?"

If men historically hold greater destructive capacity at scale, through physical strength, institutional control, cultural dominance, then men must carry greater structural responsibility. Not guilt for being born male, but responsibility for what male-dominated systems have produced and continue to produce. Not shame for existing, but awareness of impact.

If women shape relational and emotional ecosystems, through motherhood, partnership, social networks, then women must carry relational responsibility. Not blame for every relational failure, but recognition that emotional influence shapes lives as surely as laws do.

A functioning society requires both disciplined strength and wise influence.

Neither survives without the other.

Strength without wisdom becomes tyranny. Influence without integrity becomes manipulation. Provision without presence becomes emptiness. Nurture without boundaries becomes codependence.

The balance is not a fifty-fifty split of power. It is a mutual recognition of different forms of power and the different responsibilities each carries. It is the understanding that we need each other not because we are weak, but because we are incomplete alone.

Men need women's emotional intelligence to remain human. Women need men's strength to feel safe. Both need both to thrive.

This is not regression to traditional roles. It is recognition of reality, that difference is not hierarchy, that complementarity is not oppression, that interdependence is not weakness. It is the ancient wisdom that two are better than one, that a cord of three strands is not quickly broken, that we are members of one another whether we acknowledge it or not.

The balance is not easy. It requires constant negotiation, constant communication, constant humility. It requires men to listen when they want to fix, and women to speak when they want to withdraw. It requires both to stay in relationship when leaving would be simpler.

But the balance is possible. And it is worth pursuing.

CHAPTER VII — WHAT POWER COSTS

Power is not free.

Every form of influence carries a price. The price may be paid in time, in energy, in attention, in relationships, in peace of mind. But it is always paid.

For men, the cost of power has often been emotional isolation. To be strong is to be alone. To lead is to bear burdens others do not see. To provide is to carry weight that never lifts. The same structures that gave men authority also demanded they suppress the vulnerability that makes connection possible.

For women, the cost of power has often been self-effacement. To nurture is to disappear. To hold relationships together is to lose pieces of yourself. To influence quietly is to never be fully seen. The same expectations that gave women relational centrality also demanded they sacrifice their own needs to meet others'.

These costs are not symmetrical. They are not equal. But they are real. And they are rarely acknowledged in conversations that focus only on visible power.

Power also costs those subject to it. The child of an emotionally absent father pays. The partner of a controlling man pays. The son raised without healthy models pays. The daughter taught to accept less than she deserves pays. The costs of irresponsible power ripple outward, affecting generations.

This is why responsibility is not optional. It is the only thing that makes power sustainable. Without responsibility, power consumes, first others, then itself. With

responsibility, power becomes something else entirely: not dominance, but stewardship. Not control, but care. Not taking, but giving.

The question "What does power cost?" should be asked by everyone who holds it. What am I sacrificing to maintain this influence? What are others sacrificing because of it? Is the cost worth bearing? Is there a way to reduce it?

These questions do not have easy answers. But they must be asked.

CHAPTER VIII — RESPONSIBILITY AS LOVE

Love is often discussed in terms of feeling, warmth, attraction, affection. But love is also action. And one of its most important actions is bearing responsibility for the well-being of others.

To love someone is to accept that your choices affect them. To love is to regulate your behavior because someone else's peace depends on it. To love is to build yourself into someone who can be trusted with another's vulnerability.

This is what responsibility looks like in relationship.

A man who loves a woman does not use his strength to intimidate. He uses it to create safety. He does not withdraw emotionally to protect himself. He stays present even when it is uncomfortable. He does not demand that she manage his feelings. He manages them himself.

A woman who loves a man does not use emotional influence to manipulate. She uses it to connect. She does not tolerate what should not be tolerated in the name of peace. She speaks truth even when it risks conflict. She does not sacrifice herself entirely. She maintains herself so she has something to offer.

Responsibility as love means recognizing that you are not the center of the universe, but that your actions matter anyway. It means accepting that you will affect others whether you intend to or not, and choosing to affect them well. It means growing up enough to carry weight without collapsing, and staying soft enough to feel the weight of others.

This kind of love is not easy. It is not romantic in the Hollywood sense. It is the love of adults who know that feelings fluctuate but commitments remain. It is the love of people who have seen each other's worst and chosen to stay anyway, not out of obligation but out of recognition that no one else will know them the same way.

Responsibility is love made visible.

CHAPTER IX — BUILDING WORLDS

No one gives the world to another person.

This phrase "I want to give her the world" is beautiful but misleading. The world is not a gift one person can bestow. The world is vast, indifferent, and mostly beyond any individual's control.

What we can give is smaller. And more real.

We can give stability, the quiet confidence that bills will be paid, that food will be on the table, that the roof will not leak. This is not the world, but it is a world. A small world where survival is not a daily crisis.

We can give safety, the knowledge that in our presence, no harm will come. That anger will be contained. That conflict will not escalate into threat. This is not the world, but it is a world. A small world where fear does not live in the same house as love.

We can give attention, the rare gift of being truly seen, truly heard, truly known. In a world of distraction and performance, to be fully present to another person is a kind of wealth. This is not the world, but it is a world. A small world where someone matters simply because they exist.

We can give consistency, the reliability that makes trust possible. The kept promises. The followed-through commitments. The predictable return. This is not the world, but it is a world. A small world where words mean something and people can be counted on.

We can give growth, the environment where someone can become more themselves, not less. The encouragement that does not demand conformity. The love that does not require performance. This is not the world, but it is a world. A small world where becoming is more important than having arrived.

These small worlds are what we actually build. Not with grand gestures or dramatic declarations, but with daily choices, sustained effort, quiet discipline. They are built in the moments no one sees, the extra hour of patience, the choice to listen instead of lecture, the decision to stay when leaving would be easier.

The man who builds such a world for his family has given something more valuable than the world. He has given a place where the world's chaos can be kept at bay, where love can grow, where people can rest.

The woman who builds such a world for her children has given something more lasting than any inheritance. She has given patterns that will shape generations, emotional security that will outlast her, love that will be carried forward.

This is what power looks like when responsibility directs it. Not domination, but construction. Not control, but cultivation. Not taking, but making.

CHAPTER X — THE WEIGHT OF INFLUENCE

Influence is heavy.

To know that your choices shape others is to carry a weight that never fully lifts. To recognize that your presence matters is to accept that your absence will also matter. To understand that you have power is to live with the knowledge that you will be accountable for its use.

This weight is not punishment. It is simply reality.

Some try to escape it by denying their influence. They tell themselves they don't matter, that their choices affect no one, that they are just living their lives and others must manage themselves. This denial does not make the weight disappear. It just makes them unconscious carriers, spreading impact without awareness, causing effects they never intended and never notice.

Others try to escape it by refusing power altogether. They shrink, hide, avoid responsibility. They let others decide. They float through life leaving no marks. This too is a choice, and it too has consequences. The absence of influence is still influence. The void left by those who refuse to lead is still filled by something.

The only honest response is to accept the weight.

To say: Yes, I have influence. Yes, my choices matter. Yes, I will affect others whether I intend to or not. And because this is true, I will pay attention. I will learn. I will grow. I will take responsibility for what I do and what I fail to do.

This acceptance is liberation, because once you stop pretending you don't matter, you can start choosing how you will matter. Once you stop denying your power, you can start directing it. Once you accept the weight, you can learn to carry it well.

The weight of influence is not going anywhere. It is part of being human. The only question is whether you will carry it consciously or unconsciously, responsibly or carelessly, with love or with indifference.

Author’s Note

Power is not shameful.

Strength is not evil.

Influence is not oppressive by default.

These things are simply capacities, tools that can be used for building or destroying, for healing or harming, for freeing or enslaving. The tool itself carries no moral weight. The hand that holds it carries everything.

This book has not been about assigning blame. It has been about recognizing responsibility. Not guilt for what others did, but accountability for what you do. Not shame for having power, but awareness of what power requires.

The greater the capacity for power, the greater the potential for impact. Impact can build or destroy. It can nurture or destabilize. It can create safety or fear. The direction is not determined by biology or history or society. It is determined by choice.

And choice requires consciousness.

The man who does not examine his power will misuse it. The woman who does not examine her influence will misdirect it. The person who never asks "What do I owe?" will owe more than they ever imagined.

But the person who asks honestly, who reflects deeply, who chooses responsibly—that person becomes something rare. A carrier of power who can be trusted. A holder of influence who does not abuse it. A human being who understands that to have power is to have responsibility, and that responsibility, carried well, becomes love.

The world does not need more powerful people.

It needs more responsible ones.